UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,937	08/21/2007	Anna Cederholm	EPCL:015US/ 10613209	6786
	7590 05/12/200 & JAWORSKI L.L.P.	9	EXAM	IINER
600 CONGRES	SS AVE.		WEN, SHARON X	
SUITE 2400 AUSTIN, TX 78701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/599,937	CEDERHOLM ET AL.	CEDERHOLM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SHARON WEN	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iton. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08/21/2007					
,	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	-	ters prosecution as to the merit	e ie			
closed in accordance with the practice ur	•	•	3 13			
closed in accordance with the practice di	idei Ex parte Quayre, 1999 O.L	7. 11, 100 O. O . 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 8-13</u> is/are pending ir	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1, 3-6, 8-13</u> are subject to restr	iction and/or election requireme	ent.				
Application Papers						
· · _	aminor					
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on in/ore; a)		by the Everniner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner. Note the attache	a Office Action or form PTO-152	<u>2</u> .			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for 	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/599,937 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment, filed 08/21/2007, has been entered.

Claims 2 and 7 have been canceled.

Claims 12-13 have been added.

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-13 are pending and currently under Restriction Requirement set forth herein.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising Annexin V.

Group II, claim(s) 3-5 and 10 drawn to a method of treating a subject at risk of atherothrombosis and/or plaque rupture comprising administering to said subject a pharmaceutical composition comprising an effective amount of Annexin V.

Group III, claim(s) 6 and 12, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a purified subfraction of pooled immunoglobulins with the capacity to inhibit antibodies binding to Annexin V, or a purified subfraction of pooled immunoglobulins with the capacity to promote binding of Annexin V to endothelium.

Group IV, claim(s) 8-9, 11 and 13, drawn to a method of treating a subject at risk of atherothrombosis and/or plaque rupture comprising administering to said subject a pharmaceutical composition comprising an effective amount of pooled immunoglobulins with the capacity to inhibit antibodies binding to Annexin V or a purified subfraction of pooled immunoglobulins with the capacity to promote binding of Annexin V to endothelium.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the shared technical feature of the present invention is a pharmaceutical composition comprising Annexin V. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in prior art. For example, Blankenberg et al. (US 2003/0152513 A1, cited on IDS) taught a pharmaceutical composition comprising Annexin V (see, e.g., claims 5 and 6). Therefore, the technical feature in the instant case does not make a contribution over prior art thus the unity of invention does not exist.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1644

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHARON WEN whose telephone number is (571)270-3064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:30AM-6:00PM, ALT. Friday, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram R. Shukla can be reached on (571)272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sharon Wen/ Examiner, Art Unit 1644 May 11, 2009